In stating existing theory, one can do no better than to quote Johan Hjort, who, perhaps more than anyone else, was responsible for bringing attention to the importance of year-class success or failure as the explanation of fluctuations in the sea fisheries. In 1914 he advanced, and in 1926 (p. 32) reiterated, the theory that:

The rich year-classes appear to make their influence felt when still quite young; in other words, the numerical value of a year-class is apparently determined at a very early stage, and continues in approximately the same relation to that of other year-classes throughout the life of the individuals. It has already been shown that the observations on mackerel in 1932 are in harmony with this theory (p. 204).

Hjort (1926, p. 33) in discussing the great Norwegian cod and herring fisheries, suggested further:

As factors, or rather events which might be expected to determine the numerical value of a new year-class, I drew attention to the following two possibilities:

- (1) That those individuals which at the very moment of their being hatched did not succeed in finding the very special food they wanted would die from hunger. That in other words the origin of a rich year-class would require the contemporary hatching of the eggs and the development of the special sort of plants or nauplii which the newly hatched larva needed for its nourishment.
- (2) That the young larvae might be carried far away out over the great depths of the Norwegian Sea, where they would not be able to return and reach the bottom on the continental shelf before the plankton in the waters died out during the autumn months of their first year of life.

Observations on mackerel do not support the first possibility. Mortality immediately after hatching was little, if any, greater than at other times, and hence failure of the 1932 class could not have been due to acute dearth of food at the hatching time. If shortage of food was responsible, it had its effect either throughout the period of planktonic existence or at the transition phase (9- to 10-mm.), well after the hatching time.

On the other hand, the second possibility has strong indications of support in the mackerel data. Not only did the heightened mortality at the 9- to 10-mm. lengths appear to be connected with drift of the larvae, but there also was a marked correspondence between success of the year-classes 1930 to 1933, and the drift that they must have experienced as the result of dominant winds in May of these four years.

That drift may in general be an important influence on success of year classes is further suggested by a similar finding for the American haddock (Walford, 1938, p. 55), wherein the relative failure of the 1932 class corresponded with drift of larvae away from Georges Bank, and relative success of the 1931 class corresponded with a pattern of circulation that kept the larval population on Georges Bank.

Thus, in the two instances where the events at sea have been traced, it was the oceanic circulation that influenced the success of year-classes; and in the one case where the course of mortality (in a failing year class) at sea was traced, it was not any, if at all, higher at the hatching time, and hence failure could not be attributed to acute shortage of food at this period.

In addition to the actual facts observed and their contribution to the understanding of year-class success or failure, the development of technique for determining mortality rates can have significant influence on future development of fishery science. If applied over a series of years, it would provide the data needed for separately evaluating the correlation of the size of the spawning stock with numbers of resulting off-spring, and the correlation of the survival of offspring with the contribution of the year-class to the commercial stock. The predictive uses of such knowledge would be of obvious value to the conduct of fishing operations and to the trade in fishery products. But the value of such knowledge in formulating conservation policies would

be even greater than its value for predictions. These separate correlations would provide a basis for determining the size of spawning stock necessary to maintain an undepleted fishery. Efficient utilization will be possible when a reliable estimate can be made of the proper size of spawning reserve. Until then, there will always be danger of reducing the annual take, on the one hand, by attempting to preserve more spawners than needed, or, on the other hand, by catching more spawners than can be spared from the stock needed for adequate reproduction.

APPENDIX

METHODS OF DETERMINING SIZE AT MATURITY

Samples of fish were taken at various times at Woods Hole, Provincetown, and Sagamore, Mass., during the period June 24 to July 21, 1925. The fish were measured to the nearest half centimeter on a straight line from tip of snout to the extremity of the midcaudal rays. Gonads of the males were graded by eye as small translucent, small opaque gray, enlarged white, running milt, and spent. The last three grades were classified as mature. Gonads of females were graded by eye as small translucent, small granular, enlarged granular, translucent spots, running ripe, and spent. The last three grades were classified as mature. The results are summarized in table 13.

Table 13.—Size of mackerel at maturity as indicated by 1,116 individuals taken by traps in the vicinity of Woods Hole, Mass., and in Massachusetts Bay during the period, June 24 to July 21, 1925

T		Males			Females	
Length, centimeters	Immature	Mature	Mature	Immature	Mature	Mature
0	Number 1	Number	Percent	Number 1	Number	Percent
.5	1 1 10			3 6		
Total	13			10		
.0	16 22			8 16		
.5	27 25 37			32 33		
O Total	127	2 2	2	45 134		
.5 .0	35 17	1 1		47 22		
.5	18	1 2		27 20		
Total	87	$-\frac{2}{7}$	9	14 / 130		
.05	14 12 16	5 7 4		21 16 21	i	
.5 0	9	3 2		17 14		
Total	60	21	26	89	2	
.5	7 11 5 5 5	5 7 8 11 5		13 12 14 9 7	5 8 6	
Total	33	36	52	55	17	
.0 .5 .0 .5 .5 .0	6 6 7 3 2	15 29 25 28 20		5 8 1 4 2	5 7 14 8 11	
Total	24	117	83	20	45	

Table 13.—Size of mackerel at maturity as indicated by 1,116 individuals taken by traps in the vicinity of Woods Hole, Mass., and in Massachusetts Bay during the period, June 24 to July 21, 1925.—Continued.

Towards and the same		Males		Females					
Length, centimeters	Immature	Mature	Mature	Immature	Mature	Mature			
5		Number 7 6 2	Percent	Number	Number 7 3	Percent			
0	2	2 2 19	86	2	2 2 18	9			
Total		2 2			2				
Total		5	100		2	10			
ore than 40	1	23	96		14	10			
Grand total	348	230		440	98				

METHODS OF COLLECTING EGGS AND LARVAE

Mackerel eggs and larvae were collected during the spawning season in the spring of each year from 1926 to 1932, inclusive. The initial work was exploratory and qualitative in nature. Tows during the period 1926 to 1929 were drawn horizontally at the surface, mid-depth, and just above bottom. In 1930 and 1931 oblique hauls were employed. In 1932, oblique hauls were continued, and a device employed to measure the quantity of water strained through the nets. The following description refers to the collections made during 1932.

Nets used.—The plankton net used during the first 7 cruises was 1 meter in diameter at the mouth, and 4 meters long. The first meter of length was eylindrical and composed of No. 0 millers' gauze with 15 meshes per lineal centimeter, and for the last 3 meters the shape was conical and the material of No. 2 gauze, with 21 meshes per lineal centimeter. At the end of the cone, attached by a coupling device, was a "cod-end" 5 inches in diameter and 10 inches long, of No. 12 gauze, in which the catch collected. During the ninth and tenth cruises, a stramin net was used, which was 2 meters in diameter (at mouth), and of the same proportions as the meter net.

Method of towing.—To sample uniformly throughout the range of vertical distribution of eggs and larvae, the method of oblique towing was used. This consisted of paying out an amount of line appropriate for the maximum depth to be reached by the particular tow, then hauling back a certain amount of line at fixed intervals of time, usually 5 meters every 2 minutes or 2 meters every 1 minute, until completion of the haul. During the period of hauling, the speed of the ship was kept as nearly uniform as possible.

During the first seven cruises, when 1-meter nets were used, one net was towed at the shoal stations where the water was nearly uniform from surface to bottom, and two nets at the deeper stations where thermal stratification of water was prevalent. At the stations where two nets were used they were attached to the towing cable at intervals estimated to be appropriate for the upper net to sample down to the thermocline and the lower net a nearly equal distance below the thermocline. In a typical instance, with a sounding of 50 meters, the lower net would be attached at the end of the line, the upper net 25 meters from the end, and another 25 meters payed out, making 50 meters of line all told. Towing at the usual speed, the line would stray

45° above the first net and 28° below it. The depth ranges of fishing would then be 0–18 meters and 22–44 meters, respectively, for the upper and lower nets. Since the course of plankton nets through the water usually is undulating (Russell, 1925, pp. 603–604), the theoretically unfished gap between the nets and the theoretically stepwise character of hauls would both be practically obliterated and the sampling virtually uniform, except for the greater depth range covered in unit time by the lower net. The latter was taken into account in the subsequent treatment of data.

During the eighth and ninth cruises when the hauls were made with a 2-meter net, only one such net was used, and at the deeper stations it was sent down to a depth roughly equivalent to that reached by the deeper of the two nets employed on earlier cruises, so that the single, oblique haul of the 2-meter net sampled through approximately the same strata as the two nets of the preceding cruises.

Measurement of quantity of water strained by the nets.—It is obvious that two variables, speed of towing and degree of clogging, seriously modify the flow of water through plankton nets, causing variations in the catching capacity. To eliminate these sources of variability, a current meter was installed in the mouth of the net to measure the flow. The utility of current meters in measuring the volume of water passing through a plankton net depends on whether or not the flow past the meter is equal to or proportional to the average flow of water into the net. By towing, at usual speeds, a standard net with a current meter in the center of the mouth and another meter at the periphery, it was found (William C. Herrington, unpublished notes) that the flow past these two positions differed less than 10 percent. Since these positions were such as to register the maximum difference in rate of flow, if any existed, this evidence was taken as indicating uniform flow into all parts of the mouth of the net. Hence we regarded the registration of flow past the meter as directly measuring the flow through the entire opening.

The instrument used for measuring the flow consisted of the propeller mechanism and revolution counter from a dismembered Ekmann current meter, turning five to six revolutions per meter of flow at usual towing speeds. For precise determination the meter was calibrated over the range of towing speeds. The total revolutions turned during a tow were converted to speed by dividing by the duration of the haul, in seconds; and the equivalent rates of flow were found from the calibration graph. These are the rates used in the specimen computation of table 15.

While the current meter was used as a standard procedure, there were times when mechanical difficulties prevented proper registration. To provide basic data for comparable treatment of hauls made on such occasions, records were taken periodically, during each haul, of the towing wire's angle of stray and of the ship's speed as measured by timing the progress of the ship past a chip cast alongside. An estimate of the extent to which the net was clogged was made at the end of each haul. Relations between these observations and flow past the current meter gave average factors by which angles of stray or ship's speed could be translated to terms of equivalent current meter measurements. This afforded means of estimating the flow on those hauls which were not accompanied by reliable current-meter records. All the hauls of cruise I, and 5 percent of the hauls on subsequent cruises were of this class. For these hauls there was some error of estimate which may have been considerable for individual instances, but were, we believe, of random nature tending to balance each other, and so could have introduced very little inaccuracy into the general results, based on averages of a number of stations.

Only one current meter was available, and this was used in the upper of the two nets. When more than one net was on the line, the flow through the lower net was assumed to be the same as that through the upper net except as modified by clogging.

Four degrees of clogging were recognized according to the following definitions: 0—When net is hauled to deck, water runs freely out of net and cod-end so that no water is left by the time the net reaches deck. 1—Water runs out of net freely but out of cod-end slowly so that some water is left in cod-end when net reaches deck. 2—Water runs out of net so slowly that it remains above level of cod-end coupling when net reaches deck, but falls to level of coupling after a short interval of time. 3—Entire net visibly covered with clogging organisms and water stays above coupling so that special means must be taken for washing down net.

By the graphical partial correlation method (Ezekiel, 1930, pp. 143-145), it was found how much the relation between the angle of stray and the quantity of water strained was modified by the various degrees of clogging. The amounts by which clogging changed the average rate of flow for given angles of stray was +0.032, -0.03, -0.073, and -0.108 meters per second for cloggings of 0, 1, 2, and 3, respectively, on the clogging scale as above defined. For the hauls made without current meters in the nets, these values were added to the theoretical flow as estimated from the angle of stray. The magnitude of these corrections is given by their percentage relations to the average rate of flow, which were +8, -1, -18, and -26 for the respective degrees of clogging. These, of course, are averages for each of the 4 degrees of clogging. The extreme individual values were plus 37 percent and minus 29 percent, which indicates that the total flow through an extremely clogged net at times was only half as much as through a very clean net. Since the clogging is progressive during a haul, it is obvious that practically no water is strained toward the end of any haul in which the net becomes badly clogged. The hauling method employed in this work, therefore, would undersample the upper layers relative to the lower layers. This would be a serious difficulty if clogging were often severe, but during 1932 only 4 percent of the hauls were of third degree and 15 percent of second degree clogging; hence uneven vertical distribution of sampling did not often occur. No adjustment was made for this effect.

ENUMERATION OF EGGS AND LARVAE

Eggs and small larvae were so abundant in many of the meter-net catches that a sampling method was necessary to estimate the total numbers caught. The formalin preserved plankton catch was transferred to a wide-mouthed graduated receptacle, enough liquid added to bring the level to a certain mark (often 2,000 cc.), the contents stirred vigorously to mix uniformly, and a dipper then plunged into the mixture and withdrawn level full. The dippers were of the type made for dipping cream, each comprised of a small straight-sided cup with a long handle. Several sizes of dipper, each of known capacity, were used and one or several dipperfuls taken, depending on the size of sample desired. All fish eggs and larvae were removed from the sample. From the remainder of the catch, all larvae larger than about 5 mm. in length were removed. From the 2-meter net catches all the larvae were removed.

Mackerel eggs and larvae were separated from those of other species and further examined, counting the number of eggs at each of three stages of development and the number of larvae at each millimeter of length. Measurements were made with the aid of microscope and eye-piece micrometer for larvae under 7 mm. and with millimeter rule and unaided eye for larger ones. The measurement was from tip of snout to end of notochord in larvae, and to base of caudal rays in post-larvae. Dis-

torted specimens were classed by matching them with straight specimens of known length.

The method of converting the counts to total catch was simple in the majority of instances because usually the mackerel material consisted either entirely of eggs and small larvae, so that the total catch could be computed directly from the known volume of sample sorted and the known volume of the plankton from which the sample was drawn; or entirely of large larvae sorted from the entire catch, so that a simple count represented the total. In a minority of instances, when both small and large larvae occurred in the same haul the total had to be computed from a combination of the sampled numbers of small larvae and the total numbers of large larvae.

The specimen tabulation (table 14) illustrating the computation is selfexplanatory except for the treatment of those sizes of larvae which were too scarce to be adequately represented in the small sample. Referring to columns 2 and 3 of table 14, it is obvious that the numbers of 8-mm. larvae were too few to have been taken in the small sample and also that in sorting the remainder, larvae as small as 6 mm. and perhaps also 7 mm. were not fully removed. Therefore, the 3- to 6-mm. larvae, inclusive, in the small sample were taken as representing the catch of these sizes and the items of column 2 were multiplied by $\frac{2,000}{112}$ and entered in column 4. The numbers (2) in the 7-mm. category in the small sample (column 2) were taken as representing the numbers of larvae 7 mm. and over, which should then total $2 \times \frac{2,000}{112} = 36$ in the entire sample. Since there were known to be 6 larvae of 8-mm, length (column 3) in the catch, the entry of 6 was made opposite the 8-mm. class in column 4 and the entry of 36-6=30 opposite the 7-mm. class. The count of larvae in the lower haul (table 14) included no larvae larger than those found in the small sample, and the total numbers of each size (column 8) were computed simply by multiplying the counts in the sample (column 6) by $\frac{1,500}{112}$.

Table 14.—Specimen computation for converting counts of eggs and larvae to total catch on the standard basis of 17.07 cubic meters of water strained per meter of depth fished

[Data relate to station 21491]

. :		τ	pper ha	ul				Lowe	r haul			Total catch
	Col- umn 1	Col- umn 2	Col- umn 3	Col- umn 4	Col- umn 5	Col- umn 6	Col- umn 7	Col- umn 8	Col- umn 9	Col- umn 10	Col- umn 11	Col- umn 12
Classes	Count in sample of 28/2000 sorted for eggs	Count in sample of 112/2000 sorted for larvae	Count in remainder sorted for large larvae	Computed total catch	Stand- ard- ized catch (Col- umn 4 × 0.70)	sample of 112/1500	der	Computed total catch	Con- tam- ina- tion (Col- umn 5 × 0.21)	Net catch (Col- umn 8) (Col- umn 9)	Stand- ard ized catch (Col- umn 10 × 0.63)	(Col- umn 5) + (Col- umn 11)
Eggs: Stage CLarvae (mm.):	Number 2	Number	Number	Number 143	Number 100	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number 100
3		27 12 15 11 2	10 20 6	483 214 268 197 30 6	338 150 188 138 21 4	8 4 6 1 1	1 2 3	107 54 80 13 13	71 32 39 29 4	36 22 41 -16 9 -1	23 14 26 -16 6 -1	361 164 214 122 27 3

In this particular sample the special treatment concerned the larvae of 7-mm. and upward. This was not uniformly true. The completeness of removal of large larvae from the remainder varied with the character of the plankton with which they were mixed and also, no doubt, with the fatigue of the person sorting the material. Due to this variation each haul was treated according to the internal evidence provided by the counts therefrom. More often than not the relative counts of the small sample and of the remainder indicated completeness of removal of smaller than 7-mm. sizes from the latter so that the length of larvae concerned in the special treatment was usually 5 or 6 mm. rather than 7 mm. as in the sample given.

COMPUTATIONS OF CATCH PER STATION

Standard haul.—Since it was desired to have a number representing the total population of eggs and larvae at each station, regardless of depth, the catches were converted to the basis of a standard amount of straining per meter of depth fished. The standard amount selected was the average of actual performance, as measured by the current meter during the first seven cruises of 1932, which was 17.07 cubic meters of water strained per meter of depth fished. The average performance was taken rather than any arbitrary amount because it involved a minimum alteration of original data, and the resulting figures represent nearly the actual numbers caught, except for the last two cruises, when the adjusted two-meter net catches represent approximately one-sixth of the actual numbers taken. Where an upper and a lower net were employed, the standardized catch of the lower net was added to the standardized catch of the upper net after a correction for contamination was applied to the numbers found in the catch of the lower net. The computations are illustrated in table 15.

The procedure for 2-meter-net hauls was exactly the same as for 1-net hauls by 1-meter nets except that an additional factor of one-fourth was applied to offset the quadrupled cross-sectional area of the net's mouth. Other things being equal, this would have resulted in standardization factors about one-fourth as large as those for the 1-meter nets, but actually the 2-meter net was towed somewhat faster and its oblique path was somewhat more gradual due to a higher towing angle in relation to the amount of line hauled in at each time interval. Hence the average amount of water strained per meter of depth fished was about 6 times, instead of 4 times, as great as in the 1-meter nets, and the factors for standardizing accordingly averaged about one-sixth.

For both sizes of net, therefore, the resulting factors for standardizing given in the columns headed "S factor" in tables 17 and 18 are such as to convert the catches at each station to the equivalent of the numbers that would be found in a column of water with a cross-sectional area 17.07 square meters, and extending from the surface to the deepest level reached by the nets at each station. This may also be stated as being equivalent to 21.7 times a vertical haul of a 1-meter net of perfect straining capacity.

Table 15.—Specimen computation of factors for adjustment of haul to standard basis of straining 17.07 cubic meters of water per meter of depth fished and for ascertaining contamination of catch of the lower net in passing through the upper stratum

[The data relate to station 21491]

Item Unit	Upper net	Lower net
Length of line payed out Meters	0-25	25-55
A verage stray Degrees from vertical	51.3	35.0
Stratum fished Meters	. 0–16	20-45
Thickness of stratum fished. Meters		16
5. Time fished (exclusive of time spent by the lower net in passing through the upper stratum).	865	980
Rate of flow through net (from current meter) Meters per second	0. 574	l
Clogging (on arbitrary scale, see text)	l i	1
Adjustment for clogging Meters per second	1	-0.007
Adjusted flow (item 6 plus item 8)	0. 574	0. 567
). Total flow (item 5 times item 9)	496	556
Standard flow (item 4 times $17.07\frac{4}{\pi}$)	348	348
Factor for adjusting to standard haul (item 11)	0.70	0.63
Trem 10)	1	
I. Time spent by lower net in passing through the upper stratum Seconds		127
t. Flow through net while passing through the upper stratum (item 9 times Meters		72
item 13).	1	
6. Factor to be applied to catch of upper net to find the number of organisms caught by lower net while passing through the upper stratum.		0. 21

Correction for contamination.—The nets were lowered and raised without closing. Consequently when two nets were used, the portion of the catch of the lower net taken during its passage through the stratum fished by the upper net may be considered as a contamination. The amount of this contamination was computed from the known average concentration of mackerel eggs and larvae in the upper stratum, the known time spent by the lower net in passing through this stratum and the assumed flow through the net (the same as that registered by the current meter installed in the upper net after correction for clogging). The computations were made for each stage of egg and length of larva, and the resulting numbers subtracted from the catch of the lower net (table 14). In all instances, the corrections were substantial, and at many stations approximated the entire catch of the lower net. Important numbers usually remained after the correction at those stations where the upper net did not fish down to the thermocline and the lower net fished in the stratum above the thermocline for a time in addition to the time spent while it was being payed out and hauled back through this stratum. As might be expected from consideration of the laws of random sampling, the amounts to be subtracted were sometimes in excess of the amounts caught in the lower net. When this occurred, differences were negatively added to the catch of the upper net, these instances of over-correction offsetting other instances of under-correction, leaving the average undisturbed.

Relative catch of 1-meter and 2-meter nets.—A comparison of the catching efficiency of 1- and 2-meter nets is afforded by 19 instances during cruises VI and VII where both nets were hauled at the same station. The hauls were made, and the results were converted to the standard basis by the methods already described for both

nets, excepting that no current meter was employed to measure the flow of water through the 2-meter net. In lieu of this measure, the speed of towing was measured by timing the travel of the ship past a chip cast alongside. It was later found from a statistical analysis of the relation between chip speed and flow through meter nets as measured by the current meter, that the force of the wind modified the chip speed materially. From the relationship established, a schedule of adjustments was applied to the apparent chip speed, to convert it to an approximation of true towing speed. This apparent flow was used instead of a current meter reading. Because of the substitution of a deduced value based in part on average performance instead of on actually measured value, the two members of individual pairs of hauls are not strictly comparable, but the average, or sum, of the 19 hauls with each type of net is not subject to this fault.

From the distribution of sizes of larvae caught by the respective nets (table 16), it is obvious that the smallest sizes of mackerel larvae were almost entirely lost through the coarse meshes of the 2-meter net; that the 6- to 9-mm. sizes were incompletely retained; and that sizes from 10 mm. upward were fully retained by the larger net.

Two conclusions may be drawn from the comparison: (1) the catches of the two nets, per unit volume of water strained, are virtually identical for larvae 10 mm. and upward, and nearly so for the 7- to 9-mm. sizes, hence no material distortion can have resulted from the pooling of data from the two types of nets, according to the methods employed in this report. (2) Both types of net must have taken essentially all the larvae of sizes 10 to 22 cm. in length that chanced to be in their path, for if any larvae tended to dodge the nets they would surely have been relatively more successful in eluding the 1-meter net, and thus lowered its catch of the elusive sizes in relation to that of the 2-meter net. The closeness of the paired values for the size range specified is eloquent evidence this did not take place. It is to be regretted that no such paired hauls are available for the later cruises, when catches of still larger larvae might have indicated the upper size limit for effective catching of larvae by plankton nets.

Table 16.—Comparison of numbers of larvae caught by 1-meter nets and by 2-meter nets at identical stations of cruises VI and VII

Length of larvae (millimeters)	2-meter net	1-meter net	Length of larvae (millimeters)	2-meter net	1-meter net
8	Number 0. 39 . 61 1. 76 7. 40 17. 33 28. 10 20. 28 13. 75 13. 17	Number 6, 214 230 143 56 33 25 37 24	12 13 14 14 15 16 17 18 20 22	Number 12. 84 9. 50 5. 86 3. 14 48 . 48	Number 13 10 5 4

[Catches of both nets were converted to the basis of straining 17.07 cubic meters per meter of depth fished]

TABLE 17.—Record of oblique hauls made by 1-meter nets during cruises I to VII, inclusive, in 1932 [For explanation, see items of table 15 designated by the figures enclosed in parentheses in the column headings of this table]

					U	pper n	e t				Lo	wer ne	t		
Cruise, locality, and haul	Sta- tion	Date	Hour	Depth (4)	Time (5)	Flow (10)	8 factor (12)	Clog- ging (7)	Depth (4)	Time (5)	Flow (10)	S factor (12)	Clog- ging (7)	Time (13)	C factor (15)
CRUISE I	,								,		,				17.7
Martha's Vineyard:	21327	May 2	20	39	1, 200	1 392	2, 16	2					•		
II III IV New York: II	21328 21329 21330	May 3	23 2 7	25 44 54	780 780 1, 380	1 406 1 203 1 538	1. 34 4. 71 2. 18	0 2 2	30 48 72				0 2 2		
	21335 21334	May 4 May 3	3 24	14 13	1, 140 1, 320	1 492 1 470	. 62 . 60	0 2	17 17	1, 324 1, 444	392 507	0. 94 . 73	3 2	² 116 ² 116	0.00
IV V VI Barnegat: I Atlantic City:	21333 21332 21331 21336	do do May 4	21 18 17 7	15 15 17 19	1, 260 1, 320 1, 200 900	1 521 1 465 1 442 1 292	.62 .70 .84 1.41	0 1 0 2	18 18 15	1, 444 1, 444 1, 444	601 578	. 65 . 68	0 0 0	3 116 2 116	. 12
II	21337 21338 21339 21340	do do do	10 13 15 18	21 15 19 19	960	1 391 1 266 1 377 1 367	1, 17 1, 22 1, 10 1, 12	0 0 0	18 22 22	664 1, 059 999	243 419 410	1. 61 1. 14 1. 17	1 0 0	3 116 2 141 2 141	. 11 . 12 . 12
Cape May: III. IV. VI. Fenwick: I. Winterconstant	21345 21344 21343 21342 21341 21346	May 5 do do do	9 7 5 2 1 13	19 14 18 18 18 18	950 805 880 820 860 860	1 416 1 309 1 368 1 306 1 350 1 330	. 99 . 98 1. 06 1. 28 1. 12 1. 25	1 1 0 1 0 2	17 22 22 22 22	920 900 900 940	360 292 380 385	1. 03 1. 64 1. 26 1. 24	1 2 0 0	100 139 154	. 10 . 07 . 13
Winterquarter: II	21347 21348 21349	do do	16 18 21	21 16 16	900 845 820	1 394 1 386 1 295	1, 16 . 90 1, 18	0 2 0	20 20	920 900	385 407	1. 13 1. 07	1 0	150 145	. 17
II III	21352 21351 21350	May 6	8 5 2	22 20 16	765 900 805	1 322 1 394 1 363	1. 48 1. 10 . 96	0	20	860	390	1.11	0		
CRUISE 11								ŀ			1111		e "		
Martha's Vineyard: IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	21381 21380 21379	May 16do	9 6 3	15 19 17	710 870 940	283 235 256	1. 15 1. 76 1. 44	0 2 3	22 22 21	765 965 1,020	331 254 213	1. 44 1. 88 2. 14	0 1 2		
Montauk: I. II. III. Shinnecock:	21375 21376 21377	May 15 do	15 18 21	22 18 15	910 915 895	364 389 283	1.31 1.01 1.15	0 0 2	22 20	960 965	441 298	1.08 1.46	0		
I II New York:	21374 21373	May 15 do	11 8	13 14	635 875	1 250 481	1. 13 . 63	1 0	17 19	695 925	297 503	1. 24 . 82	0 1		
I III III III III III III III III III	21369 21370 21371 21372 21368	May 14 do do May 15 May 14	18 21 24 3 14	19 12 16 17 17	895 725 840 920 700	421 276 1 298 389 267	. 98 . 95 1. 17 . 95 1. 38	0 0 1 0 0	16 20 22	795 900 965	329 344 442	1. 06 1. 25 1. 08	0 0 0	140	. 15
I II III IV	21367 21366 21365 21364	May 14 do do	10 8 5	19 20 16 18	925 840 910 920	343 311 369 488	1. 20 1. 40 . 94 . 80	1 1 0	20 22	945 975	376 550	1. 16 . 87	1	150	. 12
Cape May: I	21359 21360 21361 21362 21363	May 13 do do do do	11 12 15 18 20	17 13 22 19 16	780 595 760 960 860	294 281 284 332 423	1. 26 1. 01 1. 68 1. 24 . 82	0	22 21	1, 030 910	392 479	1. 22	0	130 140	. 09
Winterquarter: I	21358 21357 21356	May 10 do	11 9 6	22 13 19	845 720 895	212 292 318	2. 26 . 97 1. 30	2 1 1	21 22	785 960	269 280	1. 70 1. 71	2 2	130 145	.08
II	21353 21354 21355	May 9 do	16 20 24	9 21 19	480 860 865	190 406 326	1. 03 1. 12 1. 27	0 1 0	22	1, 010	415	1, 15	ō	155	. 12

¹ The flow was deduced from angle of stray of towing wire and degree of clogging by means of correlation diagrams based on the relation between these and flow through the net as measured by current meter at all other stations of this series.

² Deduced from average data on subsequent hauls.

Table 17.—Record of oblique hauls made by 1-meter nets during cruises I to VII, inclusive, in 1932—Continued

									I						
					U	pper n	et				Lo	wer ne	t		
Cruise, locality, and haul	Sta- tion	Date	Hour	Depth (4)	Time (5)	Flow (10)	S factor (12)	Clog- ging (7)	Depth (4)	Time (5)	Flow (10)	S factor (12)	Clog- ging (7)	Time (13)	C factor (15)
CRUISE III									:						
Martha's Vineyard	21382	May 19	17	13	770	396	0.71	0	16	845	463	0.75	0		
II III	21383 21384	do	20 23	16 18	840 870	264 193	1. 32 2. 02	1 3	20 22	905 955	315 113	1.38 4.23	0 3		
Montauk:	21387	May 20	10	12	815	327	. 80	2	16	885	299	1. 16	2		
II	21386 21385	qo	· 4	18 16	875 1,000	284 243	1.38 1.43	1 2	22 21	960 1, 110	252 154	1.90 2.96	3		
II	21388 21389	May 20 do	14 18	16 18	965 925	328 353	1.06 1.11	1 1	22	1,060	398	1, 20	<u>î</u>		
New York:	21393 21392	May 21	. 8	15	725	297	1. 10	1	18 16	890 1,000	359 488	1.09 .71	1 1	108 129	0. 11
II III IV	21391	do May 20	5 1 22	12 15 18	755 995 875	374 438 412	.70 .74 .95	1 1 1	19	1, 140 1, 030	493 478	1.00	i	154 146	. 18 . 16 . 14
IVBarnegat: IAtlantic City:	21394	May 21	13	18	760	170	2. 30	2							
İ	21395 21396	do	17 19	16 14	690 775 840	271 205	1. 28	1 2	17	890 1, 020	230 385	1. 60 1. 30	1 1	94 121	.08
III IV Cape May:	21397 21398	May 22	22 1	20 19	955	323 465	1. 35 . 89	0		1,090	568	.84	ō		08
III	21401	do	14 11	13 13	555 765	233 260	1. 21 1. 08	0 1	17	845	316	1. 17	<u>ō</u> -	85	. 09
IV V Fenwick: I	21400 21399 21403	do do	9 7 17	18 16	895 850 765	337 508 264	1. 16 . 68 1. 32	2 0 0	22	955 955	300 604	1.59 .72	2 0	113 128	. 18
Winterquarter:	21404	do	20	16 17	860	469	79	. 0							
III	21405 21406	do	22 24	20 16	925 975	324 400	1. 34 . 87	0	20	1, 105	490	. 89	- 0	122	. 12
Chesapeake:	21409 21408	May 23	12 9	14	700 825	358 334	. 85 1. 37	0							
fii	21407	do	7	21 11	725	399	. 60	2	16	810	440	. 79	1	115	. 20
CRUISE IV															
Martha's Vineyard:	21431 21430	May 28 May 27	3 24	17 22	980 990	340 205	1.09 2.33	3 3		1, 150 1, 140	326 228	1.33 2.28	2	110 128	.08
II III Montauk:	21429	do	21	19	935	365	1, 13	ő		1, 030	437	1. 14	ô	110	.09
II	21426 21427	do	10 13	20 15	860 960	363 401	1. 20	1	18	1, 050	432	. 90	<u>i</u>	120	. 13
Shinnecock:	21428 21425	do	17 6	15 22	885 960	363 356	. 90 1. 34	0 2	20	1, 015	450	. 97	0	105	. 10
I II New York:	21424	do	2	16	1,000	435	.80	ĩ	21	1, 145	537	. 85	0	131	. 14
<u>II.</u>	21420 21421	May 26	11 14	20 14	950 735	284 254	1. 53 1. 20	0	17	850	322	1. 15	0	100	. 09
IV	21422 21423 21419	do	18 21 7	17 20 20	880 915 940	367 327 325	1. 01 1. 33 1. 34	0 0 1	21 23	980 1,040	442 406	1.03 1.23	Ö	130 102	. 12 . 07
II	21418	do	4	21	910	257	1. 78	1							
iii	21416	May 25	1 22 20	11 20	550 965 860	161 355 404	1.48 1.22 1.08	· 0	18 23 23	840 1,075 960	159 433 484	2.46 1.15 1.03	3 0 0	116 123 115	.04 .09 .11
Cape May:	21415 21411	do	7	20 22	970	301	1.59	. 0	20	800	40*			110	.11
III IV	21412 21413	do	9 12	16 18	800 955	274 285	1. 27 1. 37	0 2	18 22	890 1,080	334 210	1. 17 2. 28	8	109 120	.10
V. Chesapeake: II	21414 21410	May 24	14 19	15 19	940 750	369 248	. 88 1. 66	0	20	1, 035	443	. 98	0	121	. 11
CRUISE V															٠.
Montauk:	21432	June 1	20	12	770	383	.68	0	16	925	454	. 77	1	116	. 15
Shinnecock: III New York:	21433 21434	June 2	23 4	16 15	1,080 935	394 368	. 88 . 89	3 0	20	1, 255 1, 080	379 462	1. 15 . 94	0	162 137	.13
I	21438 21437	do	20 16	20 12	915 895	324 520	1.34 .50	1 1	17	985	606	. 61	0	170	. 29
III IV	21436	do do June 3	12	16 20	980 915	466 334	1.30	0	21 22	1, 080 1, 050	551 419	. 83 1. 14	0	133 122	.14
Barnegat: I	21439	June 3	1	19	815	336	1. 23	1	'	1		'			

TABLE 17.—Record of oblique hauls made by 1-meter nets during cruises I to VII, inclusive, in 1932—Continued

					Co	ntinu	ed								
					U	pper n	et				Lo	wer ne	t		
Cruise, locality, and haul	Sta- tion	Date	Hour	Depth (4)	Time (5)	Flow (10)	S factor (12)	Clog- ging (7)	Depth (4)	Time (5)	Flow (10)	S factor (12)	Clog- ging (7)	Time (13)	C factor (15)
CRUISE V															
Atlantic City: I	21440 21441 21442 21443	do do do	5 7 10 13	20 13 14 16	885 840 985 905	437 425 458 428	0.99 .66 .66	0 0 0	20 19 21	930 1, 090 1, 005	502 544 510	0.87 .76 .90	0 0 0	140 131 142	0. 16 . 15 . 15
Cape May: II III IV Winterquarter:	21446	June 4 do June 3 do	4 1 23 20	17 11 14 18	910 690 915 915	171 300 416 366	2. 16 . 80 . 73 1. 07	0 1 0 0	l 19	1, 200 1, 060 1, 050	563 519 456	.77 .80 1.05	0 0 0	122 150 120	.13 .17 .10
II	21448 21449 21450 21453	June 4 do June 5	11 14 17 6	16 15	1, 050 890 905	497 358 450	.79 .97 .72	0	20 20	985 1, 020	396 542	1. 10 . 80	Ö	143 135	.13
II	21452 21451	June 4	23	18 18 16	930 900 910	300 346 447	1. 30 1. 13 . 78	2 1	20	1,025	538	.81	0	150	. 18
Martha's Vineyard: II	21468 21467 21466	June 8 do	7 4 1	11 16 18	725 845 865	480 232 403	. 50 1. 50 . 97	1 2 0	15 20 22	855 975 1, 005	512 166 503	. 64 2. 62 . 95	2 3 0	97 139 142	. 16 . 04 . 14
II	21464 21465	June 7	15 19	9 15	710 985	307 335	. 64 . 97	2 2	13 20	805 1, 195	342 282	.82 1.54	1 3	93 131	. 16 . 06
Shinnecock: II New York:	ļ	do	9	16	955	398	. 87	0	20	1, 105	453	.96	1	124	. 11
II. IV. Atlantic City:	21461 21462	June 6 June 7 do	21 1 4 14	17 18 21	905 895 835	330 218 420	1. 12 1. 80 1. 09	2 2 0	22	1,030 1,000 1,030	368 180 553	1. 30 2. 65 . 94	1 2 0	124 144 136	. 11 . 05 . 13
II	21458 21457	do do June &	11 9 20	22 15 15 21	1,075 855 950 905	530 387 449 214	.90 .84 .73	0	20 19	975 1, 065	475 540	. 92 . 76	0 0	132 113	. 14 . 13
IIIIV	21455 21456	June 6	23 2	11 16	855 855	438 859	.55	0	15 41	1, 015 985	555 446	. 59 2. 00	0	118 131	. 19
Martha's Vineyard: II	21491 21492 21493	June 19 do June 20	16 20 24 6	10 16 17 15	890 865 910 830	511 496 473 484	.42 .70 .78 .67	0 1 0 0	16	1, 050 980 1, 085 975	638 556 601 602	.34 .63 .61 .58	0 1 0 0	73 127 104 100	. 19 . 21 . 15 . 17
I III Shinnecock:	21489 21488 21487	June 19 do	[10 6 2	12 16 15	725 895 930	393 484 523	.66 .72 .62	0 0 1	12 16 16	830 1,040 1,175	444 598 700	. 59 . 58 . 50	1 0 0	86 113 141	. 16 . 18 . 24
II New York:	21485 21486	June 18	16 21	25 17	1, 040 900	1 401 345	1.35	<u>î</u> -	17	1, 015	382	.97	1	121	. 12
I II IV Atlantic City:	21484 21483 21482 21481	do June 17 do	7 4 23 20	20 14 13 15	930 975 860 965	1 445 275 1 457 422	. 98 1. 11 . 62 . 77	0 0 0	14	1, 150 990 1, 130	324 559 532	1. 01 . 54 . 65	0	135 128 110	.12 .22 .14
IIIIV	21469 21477 21478 21479	June 15 June 17 do	14 6 9 12	15 15 16 21	825 900 940 925	411 435 496 356	.79 .75 .70 1.28	0 1 0 0	20	1, 045 1, 050 1, 035	498 590 434	.87 .74 1.20	1 0 0	120 149 185	. 13 . 18 . 10
III	21476 21475 21474	June 16 do	23 20 18	16 13 14	960 820 830	438 388 365	.79 .73 .83	0 0	20 18 19	1, 080 930 925	530 440 438	.82 .89 .94	0	192 154	. 19 . 12
II.	21471 21472	do	. 5 8	17 18	750 895	1 242 428	1. 53 . 91	2 0	22	990	507	. 94	0		

Table 18.—Record of oblique hauls made with a 2-meter net during cruises VIII and IX, 1932

Locality	Station	Date	Hour	Depth (4)	Time (5)	Flow (10)	Factor (12)
CRUISE VIII							
Martha's Vineyard:	1000						
<u></u>	1283	July 1	20	28	1,440	1, 128	0. 135
II Montauk:	1282	do	16	27	1, 500	1,075	. 135
viontauk:	1276	June 30	7	21	1,620	2, 349	. 048
īV	1259	June 25	11	28	1,740	1, 131	. 135
Shinnecock:	1200	June 20		20	1,710	1, 101	. 100
I	1275	June 29	13	26	1, 260	987	. 141
TI	1274	do	18	34	1,620	729	. 256
New York:					-, 020	,	. 200
I	1270	June 28	21	29	1,440	1, 128	. 137
II	1271	June 29	2	25	1,440	1, 536	. 088
III	1272	do	7	24	1,440	1,728	.074
V	1260	June 26	2	21	1,740	2, 526	. 043
VI	1261	do	4	25	1,440	1,632	. 083
Barnegat: I	1269	June 28	16	17	960	704	. 131
Atlantic City:							
I	1262	June 26	20	14	780	572	. 131
<u>II:</u>	1263	do	24	39	1,680	812	. 256
III	1264	June 27	4	84	1,500	725	. 256
IV	1265	do	7	34	1,380	667	- 268
Cape May:							
<u> </u>	1266	qo	18	22	1, 260	987	. 119
<u> </u>	1267	do	21	33	1,560	884	. 196
IV	1268	do	24	25	1,560	1,664	.080
CRUISE IX		[
Cape Ann: II	1319	July 23	5	43	2,460	2,050	. 112
Boston: II	1318	July 22	13	27	1, 260	504	. 282
Cape Cod Bay: I	1316	do	17	31	1,800	1, 440	. 114
Race Point: I	1315	do	13	31	1,920	1, 152	. 145
Chatham: II	1328	July 24	23	40	1,740	841	. 256
Western Georges: III	1308	July 21	8	63	1,980	1, 551	. 214
South Channel: IV	1307	do	4	72	1,680	588	. 680
Martha's Vineyard:					0.00-	* 00-	
<u>I</u>	1303	July 20	6	39	2, 280	1,900	.110
II	1302	do	1	49	1,620	1,053	. 256
Montauk:	1000	T1 10	7.0	,,	ا مما	000	***
I	1288	July 16	13	18	960	960	. 101
<u> </u>	1290	July 17	10	38	1,800	1,080	. 194
Shinnecock: I	1294	July 18	3	18	1,500	1,925	. 050
New York: II	1296	do	16	23	1,380	1, 021	. 122

Note:-The above table does not include hauls failing to take mackerel larvae. For a list of these see foot of table 19 and table 20.

RECORDS OF TOW NETTING AND CATCHES OF 1932

Since the methods of reducing catches of eggs and larvae to the standard basis on which the conclusions of this paper rest, are, to a considerable extent, novel, and therefore have not stood the test of usage, and since techniques may be altered in the future in such a way as to require recalculation of present results to provide material for comparison, there are given in tables 17 to 20, inclusive, the more pertinent of the records of the cruises of 1932.

Tables 17 and 18 give the conditions under which the hauls were made, and the relation of the data to each other may be understood by consulting table 15. Similarly, tables 19 and 20, giving the counts of examined portions of catches and the standardized total catches, were based on computations illustrated by table 14.

Since the data on hydrographic conditions have already been published (Bigelow, 1933, pp. 124-128 and 131-133) they are omitted from this paper.

Table 19.—Record of mackerel eggs and larvae caught during cruises I to VII in 1932

[Numbers following the locality designation are the serial numbers of the stations. Numbers in parenthesis are the fractions of the haul sorted for eggs and larvae. The entire haul was sorted for large larvae. The numbers given in the table are the actual counts in the sorted fractions; numbers given on the adjusted total lines are these counts converted to total catch and adjusted to represent the number per 17.07 square meter of sea surface]

CRUISE I

*	Numbe	r of eggs	by stages		Numb	er of larv	ae by m	illimeter	classes	
Item	A	В	С	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
New York II 21335: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0250)Lower haul:	ì	4								
Eggs and larvae (0.0250)	<u> </u>	206								
New York III 21334:	0,000	200								
Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0500) Lower haul:	19	15	2							
Eggs and larvae (0.0500)	9	10	1							
Adjusted total	344	314	37							
New York IV 21333: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0100) Lower haul:		3	1							
Eggs and larvae (0.2000)	6									
Adjusted total	66	17	5							
New York V 21332 1: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.1000)		1								
Adjusted total		6								
Barnegat I 21336: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.1000)	18									
Adjusted total	254									
Atlantic City I 21337:	9									
Eggs and larvae (0.1000)	\	1	*********							
	105		<u></u>							
Atlantic City II 21338: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0600) Lower haul:	31	4	1							
Eggs and larvae (0.0500)	3									
Adjusted total	621	72	18							
Atlantic City III 21339: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0500) Lower haul:	1	47	14	1						
Eggs and larvae (0.0500)		13	1							
Adjusted total	194	1, 189	291	19						
Atlantic City IV 21340: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0500)	1	49	12							
Lower haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0500)		19	2							
Adjusted total	19	1, 388	278					,		
Cape May II 21345: Upper haul:	177	26	10	3						
Eggs and larvae (0.0500)	3, 503	515	198	59						
Adjusted total	0,003	010	198	29						
Eggs and larvae (0.1070)	32 22	220 80	134 37	30 13						
Adjusted total	491	2,635	1, 485	381						
Aujusiau mialiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii	791	2,000	1, 400	901						======

Table 19.—Record of mackerel eggs and larvae caught during cruises I to VII in 1932—Continued CRUISE I—Continued

		CROIS	DE 1—C0	пиниес						
Item	Numbe	r of eggs l	by stages		Numb	er of larv	ae by m	illimeter	classes	·
20011	A	В	С	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Cape May IV 21343; Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.1000)		43	59	2						
Lower haul: Eggs and larvae (0.1070)		9	18	1						
Adjusted total		541	830	34						
Cape May V 21343: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0500) Lower haul:		29	38	42						
Eggs and larvae (0.0500)		3	7	1						
Adjusted total		706	991	955			====			
Eggs and larvae (0.1000)		20	6	12						
Adjusted total	12	250	75	150						
Winterquarter I 21347: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0533)		2	2	55						<u>-</u> -
Adjusted total		44	44	1, 197						
Winterquarier II 21348; Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0867) Large larvae			28	25	30	3	3	i		
Lower haul: Eggs and larvae (0.1333)			7	1	4	2	1			
Adjusted total			294	224	289	42	11	1		
Winterquarter III 21349: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0533) Large larvae.		and the	5	49	66	6 7	4	9	3	1
Lower haul: Eggs and larvae (0.1300) Large larvae		l	3	5	9	4		i		
Adjusted total			121	993	1, 355	149	4	11	4	1
Chesapeake I 21352: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.3000)				1	4	7	2		i i i e ş	
Adjusted total				5	20	35	10			,,-
Chesapeake II 21351; Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.3333)					8	4	4			
Adjusted total					26	13	13			
Grand adjusted total	11,415	7, 895	4, 667	4, 017	1,690	239	. 38	12	4	1
	·	C	RUISE	II		!		<u>, </u>	· ·	<u>' </u>
Martha's Vineyard I 21381; Upper haul: Eggs (0.0187) larvae (0.0373)	4									
Adjusted total	246									
Montank I 21375: Upper haul: Eggs (0.0280) larvae (0.0560)	25									
Adjusted total	1, 170									
Montauk II 21376: ¹ Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0560)	1									
Adjusted total	18									

TABLE 19.—Record of mackerel eggs and larvae caught during cruises I to VII in 1932—Continued

	Numbe	r of eggs	by stages	Number of larvae by millimeter classes								
Item		В	O	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		
Shinnecock I 21374: 1												
Upper haul: Eggs (0.0280) larvae (0.1120)	66	6										
Adjusted total	2,662	242										
Shinnecock II 21373:						-						
Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0560)	5	- 6										
Adjusted total	56	68							25			
Vew York I 21369: Upper haul: Eggs (0.0187) larvae (0.0373)	22	162	182									
and the state of t	1, 157											
Adjusted total	1, 157	8, 510	9, 560									
New York II 21370; Upper haul: Eggs (0.0124) larvae (0.0248) Lower haul:	76	14	3	4								
Larvae (0.0448)				9								
Adjusted total	5, 802	1,068	229	842	<u> </u>							
New York III 21371; 1 Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0560)	2		3									
Adjusted total	42		63									
Barnegat I 21368: Upper haul:		40										
Eggs and larvae (0.0280)		40	71	61								
Adjusted total	9, 420	1,972	8, 500	3, 010								
Atlantic City I 21367; Upper haul: Eggs (0.0187) larvae (0.0373)	15	23	23	5								
Adjusted total	965	1, 480	1,480	161								
Atlantic City II 21866: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0580)	1	8	9	7				100 100				
Adjusted total	25	75	225	175								
Atlantic City III 21365;												
Eggs and larvae (0.0373) Large larvae		2	2					i				
Adjusted total		50	50					<u>-</u>				
Atlantic City IV 21364: \$												
Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0373)			2									
Adjusted total			43									
Dane May I 21359:												
Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0560)			8	2								
Adjusted total			68	45								
Dape May II 21360:								1 1 1 1				
Upper haul: Eggs (0.0280) larvae (0.0560)			74	21								
Adjusted total			2, 665	379								
Cape May III 21361: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0560)		4	5	1								
		120	150	30								
Adjusted total		120	100	5 ∪								

BIOLOGY OF THE ATLANTIC MACKEREL

TABLE 19.—Record of mackerel eggs and larvae caught during cruises I to VII in 1932—Continued CRUISE H—Continued

	Numbe	r of eggs l	y stages		Numb	er of larv	se by m	illimeter	classes	<u> </u>
Item	A	В	c	8	4	5	6	7	8	و ا
Cape May IV 21362: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0560) Lower haul:		l i		23	1					
Larvae (0.0560)					1					
Adjusted total			22	463	42					
Dape May V 21363; 1 Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0560)					1					
Adjusted total					1					
Winterquarter I 21358; Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0373) Large larvae.				17	2	1				
Adjusted total			121	1, 030	5	2		<u> </u>		
				-, 000						
Winterquarter II 21357: Upper baul: Eggs and larvae (0.0373) Large larvae Lower baul:			2	26	13	6 2	3			
Larvae (0.0373) Large larvae			9	2	5	2			4.4	
Large larvae			52	675	520	227	- 5			
Adjusted total										
Winterquarter III 21356; Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0560) Large larvae Lower haul: * Large larvae		}	1 1	1	ł		5 4 3	2 6		
Large larvae						43	111	12		
Adjusted total							1	12		
Adjusted total						55	18	1		
Chesapeake II 21354: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0373) Large larvae					9	14 3	6 4	3		
Adjusted total					270	420	174	3	2	
Chesapeake III 21355; ² Upper haul: ⁴ Large larvae						8	2	3		
Adjusted total						4	3	4		
Grand adjusted total			10 220	6, 310	838	751	311	21	2	-

Table 19.—Record of mackerel eggs and larvae caught during cruises I to VII in 1932—Continued CRUISE III

			CRUISE	111								
Item	Nun	ber of eg stages	gs by		Nu	mber o	f larva	e by m	illimet	er class	ses	
	A	В	С	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Martha's Vineyard I 21382; ¹ Upper haul: Eggs (0.0280) larvae (0.0560)	81											
Adjusted total	2,060											
Montauk I 21387; \$ Upper haul: Eggs (0.0187) larvae (0.0373)	88	25										
Adjusted total		1,072										
Montauk II 21386: 2	0,112	-1,072										===
Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0560)	3	3										
Adjusted total	74	74										
Shinnecock I 21388: Upper haul: Eggs (0.0224) larvae (0.0448)	327	95	25	2			-					
Adjusted total		4, 500	1, 183	47								
Shinnecock II 21389: 1	20, 210	2,000	1, 100	4/		=====					====	<u> </u>
Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0560)	1	12	5									
Adjusted total	20	240	100									
New York I 21393: Upper haul: Eggs (0.0224) larvae (0.0448) Lower haul:	16	84	6	13								
Larvae (0.0373)				1								
Adjusted total	786	4, 130	295	312								
New York II 21392: Upper haul: Eggs (0.0224) larvae (0.0448) Lower haul:	1	30	21	24								
Larvae (0.0373)				2								
Adjusted total	94	940	658	363								
New York III 21391; 2 Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0373)		. 2	5	1								
Adjusted total		40	99	17								
New York IV 21390: 1 Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0373) Large larvae		2	1						 1			
Adjusted total	\ 	51	25						1			
Barnegat I 21394: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0280)			9	38	2							
Adjusted total			739	3, 120	164						-	
Atlantic City I 21395: Upper haul:				3,120	101						====	
Eggs and larvae (0.0448)	1	286	29	286	86							
Atlantic City II 21396: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0373) Large larvae Lower haul:		4	27	58	9							
Larvae (0.0448)	<u></u>		<u></u>	6	2							
Adjusted total		160	1,070	2, 250	383							
Atlantic City III 21397;3 Upper hanl: Egs and larvae (0.0373) Adjusted total		2 72	3 109	2 66								
rajusted total-territories			108		<u></u>	=====						====

Table 19.—Record of mackerel eggs and larvae caught during cruises I to VII in 1932—Continued

CRUISE III—Continued

	,	CRUIS	3E 111	Continue	u ——							
Item	Nun	ber of eg stages	gs by		Nu	mber o	f larva	e by m	illimet	er clas	ses	
	A	В	c	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Atlantic City IV 21398; Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0840)		2	1									
Adjusted total		21	11									
Cape May II 21402; Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0747)	1	28	46	2	21	19	3					
Large larvae							.9					
Adjusted total	16	454	746	32	341	308	49					
Cape May III 21401: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0373) Large larvae			7	17 1	1	2						
Lower haul: Larvae (0.0448)				1								<u>-</u>
Adjusted total			202	470	25	2						
Cape May IV 21400:3 Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0747) Large larvae		-		25	20	3						
					4	26	4					
Adjusted total				362	289	39	5					
Cape May V 21399:5 Lower haul: Larvae (0.0560)				1								
Adjusted total				13								
Fenwick I 21403: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0560) Large larvae		ŀ			21	7	3 24	15				
Adjusted total					495	165	47	20	3			
Winterquarter I 21404: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.1000) Large larvae					34	81 2	41 6	10 16	1 7			
Adjusted total					268	640	324	79	7		- 7.	
Winterquarter II 21405: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.1000) Large larvae.					11	30	5 9	1 5	2			
					148	402	67	10	3			
Adjusted total					10	50	52	15	7	1		1
Adjusted total					8	39	40	11	5	1		1
Chesapeake I 21409:6 Upper haul: Large larvae								3				
Adjusted total								3				
Chesapeake II 21408; Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.1000) Large larvae						1 7	2 11	5 14	15	10	1 3	3
Adjusted total						11	18	26	21	14	- 5	4
Chesapeake III 21407: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.1000) Large larvae Lower haul: Larvae (0.0448)						1	1 5	2 1	<u>î</u>	i	1	-,
Large larvae										1	i	
Adjusted total						1	4	2	1	2	2	
Grand adjusted total	22, 294	13, 519	5, 266	7, 338	2, 207	1, 607	554	151	40	18	7	5

TABLE 19.—Record of mackerel eggs and larvae caught during cruises I to VII in 1932—Continued CRUISE IV

Number of eggs by stages Number of larvae by millimeter classes				KUISE									
Martha's Vineyard I 21481: 7	Item	Num		gs by		Ñu	mber o	f larva	by m	illimet	er class	3es	
Upper haul: Eggs (0.0187) larvae (0.0373)		A	В	С	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Adjusted total 1,574 5,140 7,650 788	Upper haul:	27	88	131	28								
Upper haul:													
Martha's Vineyard III 21420: 1 Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0560) 14 14 2 2	Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0373) Lower haul:	-	18	12	li i								
Upper haul:	Adjusted total	499	1, 122	748	972								
Montauk I 21428:	Upper haul:	14	14	2									
Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0240) Eggs and larvae (0.0240) Eggs and larvae (0.0241) Adjusted total. Adjusted total. Adjusted total. Adjusted total. Adjusted total. Begs and larvae (0.0224) Larvae (0.0448) Adjusted total. Begs and larvae (0.0580) Adjusted total. Begs and larvae (0.0580) Adjusted total. Begs and larvae (0.0187) Adjusted total. Shinnecock I 21425: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0224) Begs and larvae (0.0224) Larvae (0.0580) Adjusted total. Adjusted total. Begs and larvae (0.0224) Adjusted total. Begs and larvae (0.0373) Adjusted total. Adjusted total. Begs and larvae (0.0373) Lower haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0373) Adjusted total. Adjusted total. Begs and larvae (0.0373) Adjusted total. Adjusted total. Begs and larvae (0.0373) Adjusted total. Adjusted total. Begs and larvae (0.0373) Begs and larvae (0.0448) Begs and	Adjusted total	283	282	40									
Montauk II 21427:	Upper haul:	103	16	15	15								
Upper haul:	Adjusted total	4,416	686	643	322								
Adjusted total	Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0224)Lower haul:]	8	57									
Montauk III 21428: 1 Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0860)			000	9.001									
Adjusted total	Montauk III 21428: 1 Upper haul:		289		<u> </u>								
Shinnecock I 21425: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0187). 55 75 64 40 2		l]]								
Eggs and larvae (0.0187) 55 75 64 40 2	Shinnecock I 21425: Upper haul:					-							
Shinnecock II 21424:	Eggs and larvae (0.0187)	55	75	64	40	2							
Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0224)	Adjusted total	3, 953	5, 380	4,600	2,875	144							
New York I 21420:	Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0224) Lower haul:	1	2	23	11								
New York I 21420:	Adjusted total	285	71	820	1, 754								
New York II 21421:	New York I 21420: Upper haul:		1										
Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0280)	Adjusted total		41		<u></u>								
Adjusted total	Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0280) Lower haul:	7	27	108		1							
New York III 21422: Upper haul:						·	.[<u></u>				
Upper haul:		300	1, 155	4, 630	6,861	2, 549	31						
New York IV 21423:1 Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0448) 19 1 3	Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0373)Lower haul:	5	2	1	ll .	1							
New York IV 21423:1 Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0448) 19 1 3	Adjusted total	135	54	27	546	24							
Adjusted total 563 30 83	New York IV 21423:1 Upper haul:	19	1		3								
	Adjusted total	563	30		83								

Table 19.—Record of mackerel eggs and larvae caught during cruises I to VII in 1932—Continued CRUISE IV-Continued

Item	Num	ber of eg stages	gs by	 	Nu	mber o	f larva	e by m	illimet	er clas	ses	
	A	В	С	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
sarnegat I 21419; Upper haul:	-				:					1 12		
Eggs (0.0187) larvae (0.0373)		36	36	72	72						2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-	
tlantic City I 21418:				12								
Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0373) Large larvae				8	10	12	2 8					
Adjusted total				382	477	573	95					
tlantic City II 21417; Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0373)				7	2							
Large larvae Lower haul: Larvae (0.0560)				13	15	1						
Adjusted total	. 80	79		820	731	1						
tlantic City III 21416: ¹ Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0747)	2		1	4	1 4						د برداد داد اد برداد داد	
Adjusted total	32		16	60				====				
tlantic City IV 21415; ² Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.1120) Large larvae			1				1	<u>-</u>				
Adjusted total			10				1	2				
ape May II 21411; Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0448)		26	2		2	1	2 5		5.			
Large larvae Adjusted total		922	71		71	36	70	1	1 3 2 2			
ape May III 21412; Upper haul: Eggs and laryae (0.0747)												
Large larvae (0.0747) Large larvae Lower haul: Larvae (0.0560)			7	15 1	15 1	7 6	2 14	2				
Adjusted total			119	247	247	107	31	2				
pe May IV 21413; Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0896)				6	10							
Lower haul: 3 Large larvae					-			1				
Adjusted total				88	147			2				
pe May V 21414; ¹ Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.1120)				1								
Adjusted total				7								==
esapeake II 21410; Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0896)						<u>2</u>	2	1 10	1 28	17	2	
Large larvaeAdjusted total						3	3	18	48	28	3	
Grand adjusted total	12, 172	15, 287	21, 712	18, 392	4, 462	751	200	25	48	28	3	_

TABLE 19.—Record of mackerel eggs and larvae caught during crusses I to VII in 1932—Continued CRUISE V

			CI	RUISE	V										
Item		aber of y stage				Num	ber of l	arvae l	b y mi ll	imet	er cl	856S			
	A	В	σ	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Montauk I 21432: Upper haul: Eggs (0.0187) larvae (0.0378) Lower haul: Larvae (0.1056)	40	5.	1	5 2											
Adjusted total	1, 456	182	36	95											
Montauk II 21433; Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0280) Lower haul: Larvae (0.0396)	6	10	160	158 31											
Adjusted total	188	314	5, 030	4, 665											
Shinnecock III 21434: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0448) Lower haul: Larvae (0.0896)	i	8	4	12 4	29 6	17									
Adjusted total	99	159	79	253	574	342									
New York I 21438: Upper hani: Eggs and larvae (0.0373) Large larvae			4				5	1 19	1						
Adjusted total			144				180	35	1						
New York II 21437:		37	21	2	6	5	11	4 1	2 12	2					
Larvae (0.0373) Large larvae				<u> </u>		1	16	3							
Adjusted total	776	990	563	55	214	154	168	41	18	1,			===		
New York III 21436: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0672) Large larvae Lower haul: Larvae (0.0747)	1	14	6	11	12	8	6								
Larvae (0.0747) Large larvae					î	5	2								
Adjusted total	123	156	67	105	128	129	5								
New York IV 21435; Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.1120) Lower haul: Larvae (0.0896)	J	22	1	1	16	6					- <u></u>				
Adjusted total	221	256	12	23	169	64									
Barnegat I 12439; Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0747) Large larvae					1	9	19	20 18	10						
Adjusted total					16	148	312	317	12						
Atlantic City I 21440: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0896) Large larvae	4			1			2	2	2	2					
Adjusted total	44			11			22	18	2	2					
Atlantic City II 21441: Upper haul: Eggs (0.0286) larvae (0.1120) Large larvae Lower haul: Larvae (0.0896)			2		1 2	8	6	10 1	2 12						
Large larvae						11	8	11	6	1					
Aujusteu totai			47		24	78	38	59	7	1			===		===

Table 19.—Record of mackerel eggs and larvae caught during cruises I to VII in 1932—Continued

CRUISE V—Continued

			U LBE	VCo	nemme.	u 									
i i se se te Item		nber of			53	Num	ber of	larvae	by mil	limei	er cl	asses			
	A	В	C	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Atlantic City III 21442: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0896) Large larvae Lower haul:			3		3	7	50 1	44	6				. 200		
Larvae (0.0560) Large larvae				1	5 9	15	32	15	4						
Adjusted total			22	1	96	57	346	290	41						
Atlantic City IV 21443; Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0747) Large larvae			1	1 1	1 13										
Larvae (0.0747) Large larvae			ı		1	2 1									
Adjusted total			11	7	22	20									
Cape May II 21447: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0747) Large larvae						1	1 1		1			2	1	1	
Adjusted total				<u> </u>		29	29		1			2	1	1	
Cape May III 21446; Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0747) Large larvae Lower haul:						1	1	4	2		4		<u> </u>		
Lower haul: Larvae (0.0373) Large larvae								2.	1	1					
Adjusted total						10	10	32	3	2	3	2	1		
Cape May IV 21445: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0747) Large larvae						2	2	11	11	4 7					
Larvae (0.0960) Large larvae							6	15	11	6					
Adjusted total						17	22	108	104	38				<u> </u>	
Cape May V 21444:* Upper haul: Large larvae Lower haul: Large larvae						1		1					(J. 160)		
Adjusted total		22				1		1							
Winterquarter I 21448: 10 Upper haul: Large larvae		'; ':						5		2					1
Adjusted total								4		2					1
Winterquarter II 21449; ¹¹ Upper haul: Large larvae								1	21, ap			1	1		1
Adjusted total								1				1	1	===	1
Winterquarter III 21450: Upper haul: Large larvae Lower haul: Larvae (0.0112) Large larvae									2 1	1 2	.1	****			
Adjusted total						<u> </u>			2	5	1	-		_	
										اتّ					===
Chesapeake III 21451: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0747) Large larvae Lower haul: Larvae (0.1120) Large larvae								7	1 10 1	5	1 3 1	 1			
Adjusted total								5	9	3	3	1			
·	2, 907	2, 057	6, 011	5, 215	1, 243	1, 049	1, 132	911		54		8	2	1	2
					<u> </u>										

TABLE 19.—Record of mackerel eggs and larvae caught during cruises I to VII in 1932—Continued CRUISE VI

	1		CRU	ISE V												
Locality		nber of			1 11	Numl	oer of	larv	ae b	y mil	lime	ter cl	8.5565	l		
	A	В	С	8	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Martha's Vineyard I 21468; Upper haul:												TE	. i e			
Eggs and larvae (0.0187)	77	28	20	10	5 12	7 13										
Lower haul: Larvae (0.0373) Large larvae				1	1	1 1								- 200		
Adjusted total			536	251	138	184	2									
Martha's Vineyard II 21467: Upper haul: Eggs (0.0373) larvae (0.0224) Large larvae			2	21	40 1	16 34	2 60	24	<u>i</u> -							
Lower haul: Larvae (0.0373) Large larvae				6	4 5	2 22	6	5						2		
Adjusted total			80	1, 934	3, 198	1, 278	119	46	2					====		
Martha's Vineyard III 21466; Upper haul: Eggs (0.0448) larvae (0.0224) Large larvae.		l		42	81 1	10		1							, .5	
Lower haul: Larvae (0.0672) Large larvae	1 .	1	1	17	23 10	1 4				 						
Adjusted total				11	3, 366	388		1								
Montauk I 21464; Upper haul: Eggs (0.0187) larvae (0.0373) Large larvae	22	5	1	19	4	5	13									
Lower haul: Larvae (0.0672) Large larvae				31	24	.9	1 14									
Adjusted total		171	34	663	343	113	19									-
Montauk II 21465; Upper haul: Eggs (0.0448) larvae (0.0224) Large larvae		3	21	75 2	11 4	1 24	4		ī						- 1	
Lower haul: Larvae (0.0747) Large larvae				6	3	1								 		
Adjusted total			455	3, 145	495	58	4		1							
Shinnecock II 21463; Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0560) Large larvae Lower haul:		1	13	46 1	22 1	2 17	34	9								
Larvae (0.0896)				4	3		1									
New York II 21460:	-		202	682	319	14	38	7					==		==	==
Eggs and larvae (0.0448) Large larvae Lower haul: Larvae (0.0896)		1	: 1	1	2	2 3	3 1	8	13 41 3	83 5	10					
Large larvae					i	11	3	13	34	15	3	ĭ				
Adjusted total		25	25	22	45	84	79	191	280	106	14	1				-
lew York III 21461: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0373) Large larvae		2	1	13	3	1 21	14	9-	<u>-</u> -							
Lower haul: Larvae (0. 0747) Large larvae				1	4	2 8	3	<u>i</u> -								
Adjusted total		97	48	611	237	88	30	16	4							
See footnotes at end of table.			, 1		<u> </u>	·	1	1	1	1	\ 	1	1	ı 	1	-1

Table 19.—Record of mackerel eggs and larvae caught during cruises I to VII in 1932—Continued CRUISE VI—Continued

Locality		aber of ry stage				Numl	er of	larv	ae b	y mil	lime	ter c	lasse	3		
	A	В	С	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
New York IV 21462; Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0896) Large larvae Lower haul:		l	15	8	1		12	1 21	4							
Larvae (0. 0407)					3	10	13	8-								
Adjusted total		36	182	84	66	28	127	28	3	<u></u>						
Atlantic City I 21459: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0560)Large larvae						<u>1</u>	2	<u>ï</u> -	3	13	1 13	1				
Adjusted total						1	2	1	3	12	13	1				
Atlantic City II 21458: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0. 1120) Large larvae.						<u>i</u> -		7 41	14 84	6						
Large larvaeLarge larvae		1		1	1 5	8 33	4 42	2 35	4 30	15	 _i -	 				
							<u> </u>									
Adjusted total					12	99	45	69	99	18	1	1				
Atlantic City III 21457: Upper haul:					1 4 1 2	11 3 32	1 1 23	1 20 1 15	16 65	1 31 7	<u>i</u>					
Adjusted total					17	34	27	26	66	26	1					
Cape May II 21454; Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0560)										1						
Adjusted total		<u></u>								2						
Cape May III 21455: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0560) Large larvae Lower haul: Large larvae						2	1 8	12	1	10	1 4 2	11	3	1 2	3	1
Adjusted total						1	4	6	2	6	4	6	2	3	2	1
Cape May IV 21456: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0. 0560) Large larvae. Lower haul: Larvae (0. 0560) Large larvae.						1	5	1 7	10	1 11	6	3	2			
Adjusted total.						1	5	8	10	16	8	3	2	1		
Grand adjusted total			ł	9, 214	8, 236			399		186	41	12	4	4	2	1

Table 19.—Record of mackerel eggs and larvae caught during cruises I to VII in 1932—Continued

			CRU	ise v	\mathbf{II}																	
Locality	Nu	mber o	of eggs ges			N	umb	er o	f lar	vae	by	m	illin	me	ter	cla	1880	es				
	A	В	С	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20 2	21 22
Martha's Vineyard I 21490: Upper haul:	31	48	44	192			1	_					_									
Eggs and larvae (0.0224) Large larvae Lower haul:				192	3	8	12	7	6	ĩ						-						
Large (0.0560)						1		2	2				-	-	-	4	=		-	=	=	===
Adjusted total	583	901	827	3, 135	15	11	4	3	3	1	<u>=</u>	=	=	=	=	-	=	==	=	==	= :	==
Martha's Vineyard II 21491: Upper haul: Eggs (0.0140) larvae (0.0560) Large larvae Lower haul: Larvae (0.0747)			2	27 8	12	15		2 20 1	6			2-						-		-		
Large larvae					4	ı	1 2	3														
Adjusted total			100	361	164	214	122	27	3	=			=		=	=		= :				==
Montauk I 21489: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0200) Large larvae Lower haul:		7	21	32	3 2	3 12	5	7	2													
Larvae (0.0167)				36	7	3 2	7	6	ī												:	
Adjusted total	198	231	693	2, 230	337	186	35	8	3													
Montauk II 21488: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0896)								4	2 6	7	1 3	ī	î ·									
Large larvae Lower haul: Larvae (0.1120)						1 1	1		1 2	2 7			1									
Large larvaeAdjusted total	i					- 1	3	2	7	10		2	3	-	=	=	-	-	=	-		+
Montauk III 21487: Upper haul: Eres and larvae (0.0747)								-	-	==	_	=		 1	=	=	=		=			
Large larvae Lower haul: Larvae (0.0747) Large larvae	l l	ξ.	, ·	1		1	 	2	 ī	2	4			4 1	3			 	1			
Adjusted total								1		1	2	3	5	3	2	_			ı			
Sninnecock I 21485: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.0267) Large larvae		2	13	59 2	4 5	2 10	14	2				-					-					
Adjusted total		101	658	2, 985	202	78	20	3														
Shinnecock II 21486: Upper haul: ¹⁸ Large larvae Lower haul: ¹⁴ Large larvae					1 2	3 2	3	1						1	6	2	- [2	5	3	1	1
Adjusted total	<u> </u>				3	5	4	1				=	-	1	5		2	2	4	3	1	
New York I 21484: Upper haul: Eggs (0.0280) larvae (0.0560)	2	2	13	4	<u> </u>			Ė	==	===	===	=	=	_	=	_	-	_	-	-		=
Large larvae								1					-									
Adjusted total	70	70	455	70		=	=	1		_		=	_	==		-		_	_			
New York II 21483: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.1120) Large larvae Lower haul:					2 4	6	3		<u>ī</u>													
Larvae (0.0747) Large larvae					<u>i</u>	<u>i</u>	1 2							 		ĩ						
Adjusted total					7	7	6		1			-	_			1			_		_	
See footnote at end of table.	1	I 		11	1	ı——	i 	1				ı—	1		ı—ı					-1	-	-1

Table 19.—Record of mackerel eggs and larvae caught during cruises I to VII in 1932—Continued CRUISE VII-Continued

Locality		mber o by stag				N	umb	er o	f la	rvae	by	mi	lli	met	er	cla	sse	s				
	A	В	С	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16 1	17 1	18 1	9 2	20 2	21 2
New York III 21482: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.1667)													1	1 4								
Large larvae Lower haul: Larvae (0.2500) Large larvae		1	i									1	1	4	1	1	·- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	
Large larvae						2			_		==							-	-	-	_	
Adjusted total					6	38	5	1	===	<u>=</u>	==	-:	1	2	1	1	<u>-</u>	_	_ -	<u>- -</u>	<u>- </u> -	= =
New York IV 21431: Upper haul: Eggs and larvae (0.2000) Large larvae Lower haul:						2 2	1 3	<u>-</u> 3	 		1							-	-	- -		
Larvae (0.0500) Large larvae							<u>î</u>	1										-		- -		
Adjusted total					===	3	4	3	==	==	_1	=	=	=	=		= -	-	= -		=	_
Eggs and larvae (0.0667)														1		-		- -	-	- -	- -	
Adjusted total Atlantic City II 21477: 18				24	===			=	<u> </u>	=	==	=	≕	1	-	= =	= -	- -	= =	= -	= =	-
Lower haul: Large larvae 18																_	_ _	1	- -	- -	- -	
Adjusted total							===	===	==	==	==	=	=	= :	<u>=</u> :	= =	= =	1 -	= =	= -	= =	- -
Atlantic City III 21478: 17 Lower haul: 18 Large larvae									1		-	_					_	_		_	_	
Adjusted total Atlantic City IV 21479:19	<u>=</u>				<u>=</u>	===	<u> </u>	=	1	=	<u> </u>	=	≘	=	=		- - = =	= = = =	= =	= = = =	=	= =
Upper haul: Large larvae									1									_		_		
Adjusted total									1				_ ≝	= -				- - = =	_ _		= =	= =
Cape May IV 21475: Upper haul: Large larvae 5					1	1	2	2			1	_	_									_
					¥					1				[1	. .	_ _			.[.	
Lower haul: Large larvae Adjusted total Grand adjusted total.		1 202	0 799	0 00	734	3 546	5 208	<u>5</u>	 19	$\frac{1}{13}$	3 12	_ ≝ 5	9	= 7	8	1 -	2=	- - 3	5 =	3 =	=	#



FISHERY BULLETIN OF THE FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

Table 20.—Record of mackerel larvae caught on cruises VIII and IX

[Column A gives the actual count, Column B the standardized total. Sizes under 7 mm. in length have been omitted on account of their incomplete retention by the 2-meter stramin net used on this cruise]

CRUISE VIII, JUNE 26 TO JULY 1, 1932

	Mari	ha's	Vin	eyard	Moı	atauk		Shinn	ecock	•				N	lew Y	ork			
Length in millimeters	(12	[83)	(1	II 282)	(1:	V 259)	(1	I 275)	(15	II 274)	(1	I 270)	(12	I 71)	11 (127	I 72)	(126	0)	VI (1261)
	A	В	A	В	A	В	A	В	A	В	A	В	A	В	A	В	A	В .	АВ
7	5 6 4	0. 68 . 81 . 54	5 1 1	0. 68 . 14	1	. 14	96 46 29 9 8 1 2	11. 41 13. 54 6. 48 4. 09 1. 27 1. 13 . 14 . 28 . 14 . 14 . 38. 62	1	. 26	21 18 32 21 8 2	2. 88 2. 47 4. 39 2. 88 1. 10 . 27	7 11 11 25 15 3 5 1 1	0. 70 .62 .97 .97 2. 20 1. 32 .26 .44 .09 .09	2 6 3 3 1	. 17	1 2 2 2	.04 .04 .09 .09 .09 	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
			·	1	, <u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		1	<u> </u>	1		1 1		<u> </u>				
	В	arneg	at		'	A	tlan	tie Cit	У				1 1	Car	e Ma	y		_ <u>_</u>	notol
Length in millimeters	-	arneg I (1269)		I (126	2)	I	tlan I 63)	n			IV 265)		II 266)	T	ill 1267)	T	IV (1268)	- ,	rotal
Length in millimeters	-	I (1269))	(126	2) B	I		n	<u> </u>					T	III	T	IV (1268)		Γotal B
	A	I (1269)	39 1 26 26	(126 A 156 87 11 14 1	_	(12 A	I 63)	A A 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	II 264)	(1 A 2 1 1	265)	(1 A	266)	A	III (267)	A A 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	IV (1268) B	A - 275 - 222 - 121 - 75 6 34 8 7 4 10 8 5	36. 55 30. 11 16. 66 9. 66 5. 73 3. 80 1. 07 64 44 2. 26

Table 20.—Record of mackerel larvae caught on cruises VIII and IX—Continued
CRUISE IX., JULY 16-24, 1932

Length in millimeters	Cape Ann II (1319)		Boston II (1318)		Cape Cod Bay I (1316)		Chatham II (1328)		West- ern Georges III (1308)		South Chan- nel IV (1307)		Martha's Vineyard			Montauk			Shinne- cock		New York		Total			
													I (1303)		II (1302)		I (1288)		II (1290)		I (1294)		II (1296)			
	A	В	A	В	A	в	A	В	A	В	A	В	A	В	A	В	A	В	A	В	A	В	A	В	A	В
			8	2, 26							1	0. 21		1. 10			5	0. 50			96	4. 80			120	
			13						1	0.68			10	1. 10			2	. 20			232	11, 60			258	17.
			10	2, 72					1	. 68	l		10	1. 10								3.70	1		95	8.
		[7	1, 97	1	0.11	2	0. 51	l				5								6	. 30			21	3.
			3										2	. 22	3	0. 77					1	. 05			9	1.
			2	. 56			2	. 51	l				1	.11											5	1.
					1						1		1	. 11											1	Ι,
	1	0. 11			1		1	. 26			1														ا <u>ءَ</u>	
	_						Ī			1-1		1													! ī	
	ī	.11					_		1	. 68															<u>ء</u> َ	
	-				1				-	''	1							1 \					1	0. 12	1 7	
	ī	111																						٠. ـــ	ī	
								26																	1 1	
							1 2	51																	ءَ ا	- :
				\			1	. 01	\			}]] <u>-</u>		11				1 4	
							1	1 00				1													"±	1
							1 7	1. 02							-	. 20									1 0	
							1	. 20	-																į	
							3	1 - 77				-	-						ļ <u>-</u>						. 3	١.
							3	. 77							-				1	0. 19					4	
								1. 28																	5	1.
							. 5	1.28				\							 -						5	1.
						- -	1	. 26											l					l	1	١.
		l	l		l		1	. 26		1		l	1		l		l		l		l				. 1	Ι.
	1	. 11			1		i				1	1							1				١	l	1	١.
									_																	<u> </u> _
Total	4	. 44		12.03	1	. 11		8. 47		-2.04	1		۰.	4. 29	i.	1.03	7	.70	1	.19		20.45	-1	. 12	546	۱-a

Note.—In addition to the above, hauls which yielded no mackerel material were made during cruise VIII at New York IV on June 29, Montauk I, II, and III on June 30, and Martha's Vineyard III and IV on July 1; and during cruise IX at Montauk II and IV and Shinnecock II and III on July 17, at New York I, III, and IV on July 18, at New York V and Martha's Vineyard III and IV on July 19, at Nantucket Shoals I, II, and III on July 20, at South Channel II and Western Georges I and II on July 21, at South Channel I, Chatham I, Nanset I, Race Point I and Boston Light I on July 22, at Cape Anne I, Newburyport I, Boone Island I, and Cape Elizabeth I and II on July 23, at Boone Island II, Cape Anne III, and Race Point II on July 24, 1932.

SIZES OF YOUNGEST POST-PLANKTONIC MACKEREL

To afford comparison between the largest tow-netted mackerel and smallest sizes caught by other gear, there are given in table 21 the length frequencies of several samples selected for their pertinence to this subject. The measurements were taken to the nearest half centimeter on a straight line from the snout to the fork of the tail.

Table 21.—Sizes of young mackerel in the earliest available samples of post-planktonic stages in 1926, 1927, and 1932

[The sample of July 22, 1926, was taken by dip net in the boat basin at the Fisheries Biological Station at Woods Hole, Mass. The other samples of 1926 and those of 1927 were taken by dip net in pound nets in the vicinity of Woods Hole, Mass.; and the 1932 sample came from the commercial catch of a pound net in the vicinity of Montauk, N. Y.]

Length in millimeters	July 22, 1926	Aug. 4, 1926	Aug. 8, 1926	July 28, 1927	Aug. 3-4, 1927	Aug. 30, 1932	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number	
	8						
	5			2			
	5			1			
	8 7						
	i						
		1	1		6		
		2	1		6		
		2	1		3 1		
		ī			5		
			1		32		
					96 100		
		1			30		
					2		
						:	
						,	
Total	35	7	4	3	283		

LITERATURE CITED

- BIGELOW, HENRY BRYANT. 1927. Physical oceanography of the Gulf of Maine. Bull. U. S. Bur. Fish. vol. 40, part 2, Document No. 969, Dec. 12, 1927, pp. 511-1027, figs. 1-207.
- BIGELOW, HENRY BRYANT. 1933. Studies of the waters on the continental shelf, Cape Cod to Chesapeake Bay. I. The cycle of temperature. Papers in Physical Oceanography and Meteorology, vol. 11, No. 4. Contrib. No. 34.
- BIGELOW, HENRY BRYANT, and MARY SEARS. 1939. Studies of the waters of the continental shelf, Cape Cod to Chesapeake Bay. III. A volumetric study of the zooplankton. Memoirs of the Museum of Comparative Zoology, vol. LIV, No. 4.
- BIGELOW, HENRY BRYANT, and WILLIAM W. WELSH. 1925. Fishes of the Gulf of Maine. Bull. U. S. Bur. Fish., vol. 40, part 1, Document No. 965, pp. 1-567, figs. 1-278.
- BJERKAN, PAUL. 1919. Results of the hydrographical observations made by Dr. Johan Hjort in the Canadian Atlantic waters during the year 1915. Dept. of the Naval Service. Canadian Fisheries Expedition, 1914-15, pp. 349-403, 4 pls., 11 figs., Ottawa.
- BRICE, JOHN J. 1898. The common mackerel. In a manual of fish culture based on the methods of the United States Commission of Fish and Fisheries. Rept. U. S. Commission of Fish and Fisheries for 1897, pp. 209–213, pls. LVIII.
- Buchanon-Wollaston, H. J. 1935. On the component of a frequency distribution ascribable to regression. Jour. du Conseil, vol. X, No. 1, pp. 81-98.
- CARRUTHERS, J. N. 1938. Fluctuations in the herrings of the East Anglian autumn fishery, the yield of the Ostend spent herring fishery, and the haddock of the North Sea in the light of relevant wind condition. Cons. Perm. Internat. p. l'explor. de la Mer, Rapp. et Proc.-Verb. CVII, 3e'me Pt. 10-15.
- CARRUTHERS, J. N., and W. C. Hodgson. 1937. Similar fluctuations in the herrings of the East Anglian autumn fishery and certain physical conditions. Cons. Perm. Internat. p. l'explor. de la Mer, Rapp. et Proc.-Verb. CV, 3e'me Pt. 10-13, Copenhagen.
- CLARK, FRANCES N. 1934. Maturity of the California sardine (Sardina caerulea) determined by ova diameter measurements. Calif. Div. Fish and Game. Fish Bull. 42; 49 pp., 19 figs.
- Dannevic, Alf. 1919. Canadian fish-eggs and larvae. Canadian Fisheries Expedition, 1914–15, pp. 1–74, 3 pls., 26 figs., Dept. of Naval Service, Ottawa.
- EHRENBAUM, E. 1921. Ueber die Makrele. Wissensch. Meeresuntersuchungen. Abt. Helgoland. Bd. XV. No. 2, 1921.
- EMBODY, GEORGE CHARLES. 1910. The ecology, habits and growth of the pike, Esox lucius.

 Unpublished thesis deposited in the library of Cornell University.
- EZEKIEL, MORDECAI. 1930. Methods of correlation analysis. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1930.
- FIEDLER, REGINALD HOBSON. 1932. Fishery industries of the United States, 1931. Report of U. S. Commissioner of Fisheries for the fiscal year 1932, App. II. Oct. 12, 1932, pp. 97-440.
- FIEDLER, REGINALD HOBSON, JOHN RUEL MANNING, and FRED FRANCIS JOHNSON. 1934. Fishery industries of the United States, 1933. Report of U. S. Commissioner of Fisheries for the fiscal year 1934, App. I. Aug. 17, 1934, pp. 1–237.
- FISH, CHARLES JOHN. 1928. Production and distribution of cod eggs in Massachusetts Bay in 1924 and 1925. Bull. U. S. Bur. Fish., vol. 43, pt. 2. Document No. 1032, Feb. 25, 1928, pp. 253-296, figs. 1-16.
- FISHER, R. A. 1932. Statistical methods for research workers. Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh. Goode, George Brown. 1884. The mackerel (Scomber scombrus) in Fishery Industries of the United States. Natural Hist. of Useful Aquatic Animals. Sect. 1, pp. 281-303, U. S. Govt. Printing Office.
- GARSTANG, WALTER. 1898. On the variation, races, and migrations of the mackerel, Scomber scombrus. Jour. Marine Biol. Assoc., vol. V, No. 3, pp. 235-295.
- HJORT, JOHAN. 1926. Fluctuations in the year class of important food fishes. Jour. du Conseil, Feb. 1926, vol. I, No. 1, pp. 5-39.
- Huntsman, A. G. 1919. Some quantitative and qualitative plankton studies of the Eastern Canadian plankton. Canadian Fisheries Expedition, 1914–15, pp. 405–485, 12 figs., Dept. of Naval Service, Ottawa.
- Sibelin, Columbus O'Donnell. 1936. A study of the circulation of the western N. Atlantic. Papers in Physical Oceanography and Meteorology. Vol. IV, No. 4, August 1936.

- MARSHALL, S. M., A. G. NICHOLLS, and A. P. ORR. 1937. On the growth and feeding of the larval and post-larval stages of the Clyde herring. Jour. Marine Biol. Assoc., vol. XXI, No. 1, Nov. 1937, pp. 245-267.
- MOORE, J. PERCY. 1899. Report on mackerel investigations in 1897. Report Commissioner, U. S. Comm. Fish and Fisheries for 1898, [App. I.,] pp. 1-22.
- Russell, F. S. 1925. Depth recording with plankton-nets. Nature, vol. CXV, 1925, pp. 603-604. London.
- SETTE, OSCAR ELTON. 1931. Outlook for the mackerel fishery in 1931. Fishery Circular No. 4, Bur. of Fish., U. S. Dept. Commerce, Aug. 1931, pp. 1-20.
- SETTE, OSCAR ELTON. 1932. Outlook for the mackerel fishery in 1932. Fishery Circular No. 10, Bur. of Fish., U. S. Dept. Commerce, June 1932, pp. 1-25.
- SETTE, OSCAR ELTON. 1933. Outlook for the mackerel fishery in 1933. Fishery Circular No. 14, Bur. of Fish., U. S. Dept. Commerce, May 1933, pp. 1-23.
- SETTE, OSCAR ELTON. 1934. Outlook for the mackerel fishery, 1934. Fishery Circular No. 17, Bur. of Fish., U. S. Dept. Commerce, April 1934, pp. 1-6.
- Sette, Oscar Elton. 1938. Relative strength of year classes of certain fishes of the Western Atlantic. E. Mackerel. Cons. Perm. Internat. p. l'explor. de la mer, Rapp. et Proc.-Verb. CI, 4e'me, Pt. 1, Copenhagen.
- SETTE, OSCAR ELTON, and A. W. H. NEEDLER. 1934. Statistics of the mackerel fishery off the east coast of North America, 1804 to 1930. Inv. Rept. No. 19, Bur. of Fish., U. S. Dept. of Commerce, 1934, pp. 1-48.
- Sparks, M. Irving. 1929. The spawning and development of mackerel on the outer coast of Nova Scotia. Contrib. to Canad. Biol. and Fisheries, N. S., vol. IV, No. 28, pp. 443-452.
- Walford, Lionel Albert. 1938. Effect of currents on distribution and survival of the eggs and larvae of the haddock (*Melanogrammus aeglefinus*) on Georges Bank. Bull. U. S. Bur. Fish., vol. 49, Bull. No. 29, pp. 1-73, figs. 1-50.
- WILSON, HENRY V. P. 1891. The embryology of the sea bass (Serranus atrarius). Bull. U. S. Fish. Comm., vol. 9, Document No. 160, July 7, 1891, pp. 209-277, pl. LXXXVIII-CVII, text-figs. 1-12.
- WINSOR, CHARLES P., and LIONEL A. WALFORD. 1936. Sampling variations in the use of plankton nets. Jour. du Conseil, vol. XI, No. 2, pp. 190-204.
- Worley, Leonard G. 1933. Development of the egg of the mackerel at different constant temperatures. Jour. Gen. Physiology, vol. 16, No. 5, pp. 841-857.

 \bigcirc